1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 04-00317 WHA
10	Plaintiff,	
11	v.	ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF
12	CHARLES EDWARD LEPP,	APPEALABILITY OF ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION
13	Defendant.	UNDER SECTION 2255
14		
15	By order dated April 9, defendant's motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence	
16	under Section 2255 was denied (Dkt. No. 411). A certificate of appealability is now requested	
17		

under Section 2255 was denied (Dkt. No. 411). A certificate of appealability is now requested. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2253(c) and Rule 11(a) of the Rules Governing Section 2255 Cases, defendant must make a substantial showing that his claims amounted to a denial of his constitutional rights or demonstrate that a reasonable jurist would find this Court's denial of his claims debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). For the reasons set forth in the April 9 order denying defendant's Section 2255 motion, defendant has failed to do so. Consequently, a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**.

The denial of the certificate of appealability is without prejudice to petitioner seeking a certificate from the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 17, 2013.

